Spatial patterns of European droughts under a moderate emission scenario
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Abstract. Meteorological drought is generally defined as a prolonged deficiency of precipitation and is considered one of the most relevant natural hazards as the related impacts can involve many different sectors. In this study, we investigated the spatial patterns of European droughts for the periods 1981–2010, 2041–2070, and 2071–2100, focusing on the projections under a moderate emissions scenario. To do that, we used the outputs of the KNMI-RACMO2 model, which belongs to the A1B family and whose spatial resolution is $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$. By means of monthly precipitation and potential evapotranspiration (PET), we computed the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) and the Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI) at the 12-month accumulation scale. Thereafter, we separately obtained drought frequency, duration, severity, and intensity for the whole of Europe, excluding Iceland. According to both indicators, the spatial drought patterns are projected to follow what recently characterized Europe: southern Europe, who experienced many severe drought events in the last decades, is likely to be involved by longer, more frequent, severe, and intense droughts in the near future (2041–2070) and even more in the far future (2071–2100). This tendency is more evident using the SPEI, which also depends on temperature and consequently reflects the expected warming that will be highest for the Mediterranean area in Europe. On the other side, less severe and fewer drought events are likely to occur in northern Europe. This tendency is more evident using the SPI, because the precipitation increase is projected to outbalance the temperature (and PET) rise in particular in Scandinavia. Regarding the mid-latitudes, the SPEI-based analyses point at more frequent drought events, while the SPI-based ones point at less frequent events in these regions.

1 Introduction

Drought is a slowly developing natural hazard which can affect large areas and populations, can propagate through the full hydrological cycle, and may have both immediate consequences as well as long-term economic and environmental impacts (Vogt et al., 2011; Vogt and Somma, 2000). Drought is a temporary condition that can result in irreversible damages to ecosystems and can take place in almost all climates, not only in areas prone to land degradation (Winslow et al., 2011).

Due to its complex evolution and onset, there is no single definition of drought, and the scientific literature usually distinguishes between meteorological, agricultural, hydrological, ground-water, streamflow, and socioeconomic droughts (Mishra and Singh, 2010). In this study we refer to meteorological drought because we used meteorological variables – precipitation and mean temperature – to compute the drought indicators at the 12-month accumulation scale. However, in the literature, the 3-month accumulation scale is sometimes used for meteorological drought, while the 12-month scale is used for hydrological drought (Mishra and Singh, 2010, 2011). Meteorological drought is usually defined as a deficit in precipitation over a defined period compared with climatological normal values, but drought conditions can also be
triggered by high temperatures, low relative humidity, strong and desiccating winds, etc. (Zampieri et al., 2009). The impacts of meteorological and hydrological drought events involve a wide variety of sectors, e.g. agriculture (Ciais et al., 2005), soil (Hirschi et al., 2011), ecology (McDaniels et al., 1997), and energy (Highet and Pierce, 2008).

At the global level, recent climate change seems to have caused only little increase in drought (Dai, 2011; Sheffield et al., 2012; Spinoni et al., 2014; Trenberth et al., 2014). However, at the regional level some areas experienced a remarkable increase in drought frequency and severity over the last decades, for example in the Carpathian region (Spinoni et al., 2013), southern Europe (van der Schrier et al., 2013; Beguería et al., 2014; Spinoni et al., 2015a), and in particular the Mediterranean region (Briﬁa et al., 2009; Hoerling et al., 2012). According to the latest report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2014), global warming will generally drive the dry regions to drier conditions and the wet ones to wetter conditions. This can be applied to Europe as a whole, as southern Europe is projected to get drier and northern Europe to get wetter (Spinoni et al., 2015a). Though there is indeed a general tendency towards a drier world (Sherwood and Fu, 2014), at the global level the paradigm the dry gets drier, and the wet gets wetter should be handled with care (Greve et al., 2014).

This study has been conducted in the framework of the GAP-PESETA project, the follow-up of the Joint Research Centre’s PESETA II project, whose main goal was to gain insights into the patterns of climate change impacts in Europe and was focused on many topics (agriculture, energy, floods, forest fires, etc.) but provided only preliminary information regarding drought, i.e. about streamflow drought only (Ciscar et al., 2014). We dealt with spatial drought patterns from 1950 to 2100, investigating in particular the evolution of drought events under a moderate emissions scenario (family A1B, see IPCC, 2000) in the near future (period: 2041–2070) and in the far future (2071–2100) compared with the recent past (1981–2010). The examined area is Europe, including European Russia, but excluding Iceland, Greenland, the Azores, the Canary Islands, and Madeira. We computed two drought indicators, the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI; McKee et al., 1993) and the Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI; Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010), at the monthly scale for every grid point (spatial resolution: 0.25° × 0.25°) belonging to the examined area. Such indicators have been used to calculate the frequency, duration, severity, and intensity of drought events on the same grid.

This study has three main goals: firstly, it aims at providing new insights about future droughts in Europe at a spatial resolution higher than most of the existing studies, which usually analyze data at 0.5° × 0.5° or 1° × 1° resolution, or focus on smaller regions (see, e.g. Blenkinsop and Fowler, 2007); secondly, it focuses on drought events, differently than most of the publications, which usually focus on drought-related climate indicators (see, e.g. Heinrich and Gobiet, 2012); thirdly, it aims at evaluating whether and where a potential evapotranspiration (PET) increase will be the leading factor for drought and, on the other side, whether and where the drought trends will be driven by precipitation. In this study, we did not consider potential human-induced changes in future drought patterns, because meteorological droughts do not directly depend on human activities, as other types of droughts do, e.g. the hydrological drought (Wanders and Yada, 2015).

After the introduction, Sect. 2 deals with data and methods: we describe the data inputs and the quality checks, we motivate the choice of the indicators and their computation algorithms, and we define the drought variables. Section 3 deals with results and discussions: we present figures about the change of frequency, duration, severity, and intensity of drought events in Europe between the near (and far) future and the recent past. Section 3 also focuses on the debate about which will be the most important meteorological driver for future droughts in Europe. Section 4 briefly summarizes the main findings and anticipates possible future developments.

2 Data and methods

2.1 Scenario model, input variables, and study region

The PESETA II project based its projections on the outputs of the version 2.1 of the KNMI regional atmospheric climate model RACMO (van Meijgaard et al., 2008), consequently we chose such model for our analyses. The RACMO2 is provided by the Royal Meteorological Institute of the Netherlands, its driving global circulation model (GCM) is the ECHAM5-RT3, and it belongs to the A1B scenario family. The special report on the emissions scenarios published by the IPCC in 2000 (IPCC, 2000) divides them into four main groups: A1, A2, B1, and B2. The A1 family describes a future world of very rapid economic growth, global population that peaks in the 2050s and declines thereafter, and the rapid introduction of new and more efficient technologies. This category is further subdivided into categories which correspond to different directions of technological change in the energy system: fossil intensive energy sources (A1FI), non-fossil energy sources (A1T), and balance across all sources (A1B). The A1B scenario foresees moderate but increasing emissions of carbon dioxide (CO2) and methane (CH4) until the 2050s, followed by a slow decrease. Nitrous oxide (N2O) emissions are projected to be stable through the whole of the century, and the sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions are projected to increase until the 2030s and thereafter decrease. In the latest assessment report (the IPCC AR5, 2014), it was discussed how the various scenarios are able to represent what effectively happened in the last decades and it turned out that the A1 class effectively pictures the actual global change, though...
it seems to slightly overestimate the emissions and the rise of temperature.

From the RACMO2 model, we directly obtained monthly precipitation, and we derived PET using the FAO-56 Penman–Monteith algorithm (Allen et al., 1998), from January 1950 to December 2100 (spatial resolution of 0.25° × 0.25°). The selected area is Europe, but we excluded the small atlantic islands (Madera, the Azores, and the Canary Islands) and Iceland, for a few grid points there showed suspect precipitation data in the 2000s according to the quality-checks and the homogenization tests performed with the Multiple Analysis of Series for Homogenization software (MASH, version 3.03, Szentimrey, 1999).

The choice of the algorithm to derive PET is a key point regarding the computation of drought variables (van der Schrier et al., 2011; Trenberth et al., 2014). We chose the Penman–Monteith equation because the outputs of the RACMO2 model include the input variables needed, i.e. temperature, relative humidity, solar radiation, and wind speed. Other common formulations are based on mean temperature (Thornthwaite; Thornthwaite, 1948) or on minimum and maximum temperature (Hargreaves and Samani, 1982; Allen et al., 1998). Recently, contradictory opinions emerged about the validity of such simplified formulations: Sheffield et al. (2012) pointed at the overestimation of drought trends based on temperature-only-based PET estimations, while Dai (2011) and van der Schrier et al. (2011) affirmed that the choice of the PET formulation does not remarkably affect drought indicators such as the PDSI (Palmer, 1965) and the sc-PDSI (Wells et al., 2004) in Europe. However, keeping in mind that we used potential and not actual evapotranspiration (AET) and that this could bias the results in soil moisture stressed areas (Brutsaert and Parlange, 1998), we discarded the temperature-only-based PET models as they may influence too much the projections of drought events due to the projected temperature rise in the A1B scenario, especially in the far future.

We computed the SPI and the SPEI at the 12-month scale, and we fitted the cumulated precipitation with the Gamma distribution (Thom, 1958) for the SPI and the cumulated difference between precipitation and PET with the log-logistic distribution (Shoukri et al., 1988) for the SPEI, following the approaches suggested by the authors who first presented such indicators, being also aware that different distributions could best fit the SPI and the SPEI in Europe, depending on the local climate features (Stagge et al., 2014, 2015). Dealing with standardized indicators, the length of the record used (Wu et al., 2005) and the choice of the baseline period (Guttman, 1999) are key issues: we used all the available data in the period 1950–2100 to fit the distributions. If a shorter period (e.g. 30-year interval) is chosen as the baseline for an indicator computed over a 151-year period and the selected period is characterized by frequent and severe drought events, this will influence the computation of the indicator and the other periods are bound to be characterized by less frequent and severe drought events. Because we were not interested in the absolute number of drought events (and their duration and severity) in a certain period, but we aimed at studying whether the drought events will be longer, more severe, frequent, or intense in the future compared to the recent past, the entire baseline ensures more robust comparisons between different periods.

This study focuses on the drought events, not on the indicators, and we separately analyzed them according to the SPI and the SPEI. Using the monthly series of the indicators at a grid point level, we followed Guerrero-Salazar and Yevjevich (1975) and McKee et al. (1993) to define the events. In this study, a drought event takes place every time the indicator falls below −1 for at least 2 consecutive months, and it ends when the indicator rises above 0. For a given period, the drought frequency is therefore the number of drought events. The duration of a drought event refers to the number of months of the event, its severity to the integral area of the event (the sum of the indicator values below zero, in absolute values), its intensity to the ratio between severity and duration. We computed the frequency and the average duration, severity, and intensity of the drought events over 30-year periods: recent past (1981–2010), near future (2041–2070), and far future (2071–2100). The results are presented as comparisons between recent past and, respectively, near (Fig. 1) and far future (Fig. 2).

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Drought patterns in the near future (2041–2070)

The drought patterns of the near future (2041–2070) have been evaluated vs. the reference period 1981–2010. The frequency (DF; number of events), duration (DD; months), severity (DS; score), and intensity (DI; score) of the events shown in Fig. 1 are expressed per decade.
According to the SPI, the drought events are projected to be more frequent in the near future (2041–2070) than in the recent past (1981–2010) in the Mediterranean region, in the Balkans, along the Black Sea coast and in general in southern Europe. On the contrary, Scandinavia (but not southern Sweden) and the Baltic republics are projected to be involved by fewer drought events. According to the SPEI, the gradient between northern and southern Europe is remarkable and also the European mid-latitudes are projected to be involved by more frequent droughts (up to 2.3 more events per decade).

Regarding the duration and according to the SPI, the drought events are projected to be longer in the near future than in the recent past in Spain, the French Riviera, Sicily and Sardinia, Greece, and Turkey, and shorter in Northern Ireland, central Europe, and Scandinavia. According to the SPEI, they will be longer in the entire Mediterranean region and the Balkans, and shorter in the same regions highlighted by the SPI. According to both the indicators, the drought events are projected to follow, on average, the same spatial patterns regarding the severity and the duration. Instead, the spatial patterns of the drought intensity are less homogeneous. The average intensity is projected to be higher in central Spain, north-eastern France, northern Italy, the Carpathian region, the Balkans, Belarus, Greece, and Turkey. On the contrary, it is projected to be lower especially in Scandinavia and Ukraine.

In general, it seems that the PET increase (included in the SPEI) will result in more frequent drought events in the near future in southern Europe, but it will not be the main cause for longer or more severe events everywhere in southern Europe, as they are similarly projected by both the SPI and the SPEI. On the contrary, the precipitation increase will outbalance the PET (and temperature) increase in northern Europe and is projected to cause less frequent events there. Central Europe shows contradictory tendencies: less (SPI) or more
(SPEI) frequent and intense events depending on the indicator, shorter and less severe events for both indicators.

A more detailed description of the varying importance of precipitation deficit vs. temperature extremes in a changing climate goes beyond the scopes of this paper. However, the authors more thoroughly discussed on that in Spinoni et al. (2015a, b) and the reader can also find more details on other recent publications, such as Paulo et al. (2012); Vicente-Serrano et al. (2014); Kingston et al. (2015).

3.2 Drought patterns in the far future (2071–2100)

We repeated the analyses discussed in Sect. 3.1, this time for the far future (2071–2100) compared with the recent past (1981–2010), see Fig. 2. According to the SPI, southern Europe is projected to be involved in more frequent droughts in the far future than in the recent past, and this higher frequency will be more evident according to the SPEI. Northern Europe will be hit by fewer droughts for both the indicators, while central Europe shows different patterns: no particular changes for the SPI, excluding a frequency decrease for Germany vs. more drought events reaching up to latitudes corresponding to Denmark for the SPEI. To summarize, it seems that the PET increase will be the driver for drought frequency increase in the far future as well as in the near future for most of Europe, excluding Scandinavia and northern Russia.

From Fig. 2 we can notice that, according to the SPI, the Mediterranean region – and southern Europe in general – are expected to be hit by longer, more severe, and intense droughts in the far future. Such increasing tendencies are even more pronounced for duration and severity in Spain, Greece, and Turkey, according to the SPEI. Instead, the decrease in northern European regions is lower in absolute values. If we compare the far future with the near future (not shown), the drought duration and severity increase in the far future compared to the near future is relevant only in Spain according to the SPI, while it is relevant in every part of Europe below 45°N of Latitude according to the SPEI. Once again, it seems that the global warming tendency will cause the increase of drought length and severity at the end of the century, even under a moderate emissions scenario.

The intensity of the drought events is projected to increase for both the indicators in the far future in southern Europe and Belarus, and to decrease in Ireland, Scotland, Scandinavia, Ukraine, and Russia. Germany again shows contradictory patterns: according to the SPI, fewer, shorter, less severe, and less intense drought events will occur, while according to the SPEI droughts will be more frequent and intense, but also shorter.

We underline that the results discussed in this chapter and in the previous one have been derived from indicators based on 12-month accumulation, i.e. a whole year, consequently the seasonal effects are lost as well as the seasonality of precipitation and evapotranspiration. To overcome such a shortage of data, we plan performing new analyses based on shorter accumulation periods (SPI-3 and SPEI-3), in order to study the seasonal spatial drought patterns which may be different from annual patterns in some parts of Europe, both during the current and future climate.

3.3 Projected drought patterns at a country scale

To provide a general picture of the future European drought tendencies, we analyzed the complete monthly records of the indicators from 1950 to 2100. We averaged them at a country level, and we compared their values for the three periods already investigated in the previous chapters. Because this part is based on country averages and European Russia is not a country, we excluded it from the analyses.

Figure 3 shows that the southern European countries are projected to be drier in the near future than in the recent past. In particular, the SPEI indicator projects drier conditions than the SPI indicators for the Mediterranean countries, the Balkans, and Turkey. According to the SPI, the central and northern European countries are projected to be wetter in the near future, in particular the Baltic republics and Finland. In general, in the far future a more extreme shift towards drier conditions for southern and eastern Europe can be seen, in particular according to the SPEI, and a more extreme shift towards a wetter central and northern Europe can be seen, in particular according to the SPI. In the last decades of the current century such shifts are projected to be extreme also by the SPI, especially regarding the shifts towards wetter conditions, but also towards drier conditions for the Iberian Peninsula and Greece.

These findings reinforce the general idea of climate change in Europe: from the 1950s to 2010s, southern Europe faced a drying tendency and northern Europe a tendency toward wetness (Lloyd-Hughes and Saunders, 2002; IPCC, 2014; Briffa...
et al., 2009; Hannaford et al., 2011; Hoerling et al., 2012; Spinoni et al., 2015a). Such spatial patterns are projected to continue – and increase in magnitude – until the end of the century, as also discussed by, e.g. Burke et al. (2006), Blenkinsop and Fowler (2007), and Warren et al. (2009) using different drought indicators and moderate emission scenarios. In particular, compared to our findings, Warren et al. (2009) presented similar results (drought variables tend to increase in southern and eastern Europe, and decrease in northern Europe) by using SPI-5 and SPI-12 projected in 2050–2098 under different emission scenarios; the only remarkable differences involve Romania, where a more pronounced tendency towards longer drought events was found by Warren et al. (2009).

4 Conclusions

In this study we investigated the tendency of drought events in Europe until 2100 under a moderate emissions scenario, the KNMI-RACMO2. To do that, we based our analyses on two drought indicators, the SPI and the SPEI, computed at a 12-month scale. Regarding the drought events, we defined four quantities (frequency, duration, severity, and intensity), and we compared the recent past (1981–2010) vs. the near future (2040–2080) and the far future (2071–2100). The spatial drought patterns have been analyzed both at 0.25° × 0.25° and at the country scale.

We looked for the answers to a few questions: are the drought events likely to become more frequent in the future? Will they be longer? Will they be more severe and intense? Southern Europe, that already experienced a drying trend in the second part of the 20th century (see, e.g. IPCC, 2014), is projected to be affected by more frequent, severe, intense, and longer drought events in the near future and even more in the far future. The drying trend is driven by the combination of PET increase and precipitation decrease. Oppositely, northern Europe, the area that experienced a trend toward wetness from the 1970s onwards (IPCC, 2014), is projected to be involved by fewer and less intense droughts, mainly due to the projected precipitation increase.

The results of this study should be considered as a preliminary step towards more detailed analyses regarding the projections of European drought events. Many different aspects could be introduced to refine the methodologies and the outputs. Among them we plan to study seasonal droughts, adding new indicators, using other models belonging to the A1B (see Meehl et al., 2005, for a summary) and different scenario families, performing tests to compute the statistical error intervals of the climate projections, and coupling the drought information with other climate extremes.
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